UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

United States of America)
v.)
) Case No. 4:19-CR-826 AGF
MARTAY MCKINNEY)
Defendant)
ODDED OF D	ETENTION DENDING TOTAL

MARTAY MCKINNEY					
Defendant)				
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL					
Par	Part I - Eligibility for Detention				
Upon the					
	rney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or				
☐ Motion of the Government or C	ourt's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),				
	at detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.				
Part II - Findings of Fa	act and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)				
-	ter 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable tion of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person conditions have been met:				
\square (1) the defendant is charged with o	ne of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):				
	ation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.				
	maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or				
	naximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or				
Controlled Substances Act (2	ximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 1 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act hapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or				
(a) through (c) of this paragra described in subparagraphs (a	has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs ph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal combination of such offenses; or				
· · · • • •	rwise a crime of violence but involves:				
	ssession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); pon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and				
	een convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.				
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local of to Federal jurisdiction had existed;	offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise and				
\square (3) the offense described in paragra	aph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was				
committed while the defendant was	s on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and				
_	years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the				
defendant from imprisonment, for	the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.				

AO 472	(Rev. 11/16)	Order of Detention	Pending Trial

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendan committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of
imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☑ History of violence or use of weapons
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☑ History of violence or use of weapons ☑ History of alcohol or substance abuse
 ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☑ History of violence or use of weapons ☑ History of alcohol or substance abuse ☐ Lack of stable employment

Case: 4:19-cr-00826-AGF Doc. #: 18 Filed: 11/15/19 Page: 3 of 3 PageID #: 35 AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial Significant family or other ties outside the United States Lack of legal status in the United States Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration Prior failure to appear in court as ordered Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement Use of alias(es) or false documents Background information unknown or unverified Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION: The Court held a detention hearing on October 9, 2019. Defendant was present and represented by counsel. An Assistant United States Attorney was also present. The Pretrial Services Report dated October 8, 2019 is incorporated by reference. Defendant is charged with being a felon in possession of a firearm. The government argued for detention, contending that Defendant is a danger to the community. Defendant allegedly fired shots in the parking lot of a fast food restaurant, before being shot by another person. Defendant argued that he is not a danger or flight risk and that he has stable housing. However, Defendant does not have housing and he has a history of substance abuse. After considering the Pretrial Services Report and arguments of counsel, I find by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of the community. Therefore, the government's motion for detention is granted. Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	11/15/2019	/s/Nannette A. Baker
		United States Magistrate Judge